

A Note on Real vs Complex Best Chebyshev Approximation on an Interval

A. L. LEVIN

*Department of Mathematics, Everyman's University,
Ramat-Aviv, Tel-Aviv, Israel, and
National Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences,
CSIR, P.O. Box 395, Pretoria 0001, Republic of South Africa*

Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received August 21, 1984

Let $E_{mn}^R(f)$ ($E_{mn}^C(f)$) be the error in the best Chebyshev approximation of a real continuous function f on $[-1, 1]$ by real (complex) rational functions of type (n, n) . We show that the ratio $E_{mn}^C(f)/E_{mn}^R(f)$ may be arbitrarily close to $\frac{1}{2}$ and that for the class of even functions and $n = 1$ this bound is sharp. We also prove that $\inf \{E_{11}^C(f)/E_{11}^R(f) : E_{11}^R(f) > 0\}$ is positive. © 1986 Academic Press, Inc.

For any pair (m, n) of non-negative integers let π_{mn}^R and π_{mn}^C denote the sets of rational functions of type (m, n) with real and complex coefficients, respectively. For any continuous real function f on $[-1, 1]$ we set

$$E_{mn}^R(f) = \inf_{r \in \pi_{mn}^R} \|f - r\|, \quad E_{mn}^C(f) = \inf_{r \in \pi_{mn}^C} \|f - r\|,$$

where $\|\phi\|$ denotes the supremum norm of ϕ on $[-1, 1]$.

Many authors investigated the phenomenon $E_{mn}^C(f) < E_{mn}^R(f)$, which can occur for a real function f (see Varga [5, Chap. 5] and Trefethen and Gutknecht [4] for the history of this question and for further references). In particular, many efforts have been made to determine the value of

$$\gamma_{mn} = \inf \{E_{mn}^C(f)/E_{mn}^R(f) : f \in C[-1, 1] \setminus \pi_{mn}^R\}. \tag{1}$$

In 1982 Ellacott [2] proved that if p is a polynomial of degree $m + 1$, then $E_{mn}^C(p)/E_{mn}^R(p) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, provided $m \geq n$. This result suggested the question whether $\frac{1}{2}$ is actually a lower bound for γ_{mn} ($m \geq n$), and, if so, whether it is sharp.

Recently Trefethen and Gutknecht [4] proved that $\gamma_{mn} = 0$, provided $m \leq n - 3$. They also showed that Ellacott's result holds for $n \leq 2m + 1$; and so, it holds even when $\gamma_{mn} = 0$.

In this note we present some partial results, which suggest that $\frac{1}{2}$ might be the right bound at least for the case $m = n$, with the infimum in (1) being restricted to somewhat smaller (but still wide) class of functions.

We start with the following example.

EXAMPLE. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x - \alpha i}{x + \alpha i} \right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x + \alpha i}{x - \alpha i} \right)^n \quad \alpha > 0. \tag{2}$$

Clearly, f is continuous and real on $[-1, 1]$. By choosing

$$r^*(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x - \alpha i}{x + \alpha i} \right)^n \in \pi_{mn}^C,$$

we obtain $\|f - r^*\| = \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that

$$E_m^C(f) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{3}$$

We now turn to estimating $E_m^R(f)$ from below. The function $x \rightarrow (x - \alpha i)/(x + \alpha i)$ maps $(-\infty, \infty)$ bijectively onto $\{z: |z| = 1\} \setminus \{1\}$. Therefore, as x increases from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$, $((x - \alpha i)/(x + \alpha i))^n$ traverses the circle $|z| = 1$ n times, omitting the point 1 once. Hence there exist $2n - 1$ points $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{2n-1}$ such that

$$\left(\frac{x_k - \alpha i}{x_k + \alpha i} \right)^n = (-1)^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2n - 1. \tag{4}$$

Straightforward calculation gives the values of x_k :

$$x_k = -\alpha \cot \frac{\pi k}{2n}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2n - 1.$$

If $\alpha > 0$ is small enough, then $x_k - s$ lie in the interval $(-1, 1)$, and we obtain, from (4) and (2):

$$f(x_k) = (-1)^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2n - 1.$$

At the points ± 1 , f attains the same value, which for α small is close to 1:

$$f(-1) = f(1) = 1 - O(\alpha).$$

We have thus found $2n + 1$ consecutive points of $[-1, 1]$:

$$-1 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{2n-1} < x_{2n} = 1$$

such that $f(x_k)f(x_{k+1}) < 0$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$.

Applying de la Vallée Poussin theorem [3], we deduce that $E_{2n-1, 2n-1}^R(f) \geq \min\{|f(x_k)|: k = 0, \dots, 2n\} \geq 1 - O(\alpha)$, which of course implies

$$E_{nn}^R(f) \geq 1 - O(\alpha). \quad (5)$$

The estimations (3), (5) yield for $\alpha > 0$ small enough:

$$\frac{E_{nn}^C(f)}{E_{nn}^R(f)} \leq \frac{1}{2} + O(\alpha). \quad (6)$$

It follows that for any $n \geq 1$ there exists a function f for which the ratio (6) is arbitrarily close to $\frac{1}{2}$, and we obtain

THEOREM 1. *For any $n \geq 1$, $\gamma_{nn} \leq \frac{1}{2}$.*

For the case $n = 1$ Bennett *et al.* [1] proved that if f is even and satisfies $0 = f(0) \leq f(x) \leq f(1) = 1$ on $[0, 1]$ then

$$\frac{1}{4} < E_{11}^C(f) \leq E_{11}^R(f) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (7)$$

which implies that for any such function

$$\frac{E_{11}^C(f)}{E_{11}^R(f)} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (8)$$

The argument they used to prove (7) can actually be applied to any continuous real function f that satisfies

(i) $-M \leq f(x) \leq M$ ($M > 0$) on $[-1, 1]$;

(ii) there exist three points $-1 \leq x_1 < x_2 < x_3 \leq 1$ such that $f(x_k) = \lambda(-1)^k M$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ (with $\lambda = 1$ or $\lambda = -1$).

Consequently, for any such function it holds that

$$\frac{1}{2}M < E_{11}^C(f) \leq E_{11}^R(f) \leq M, \quad (7')$$

which yields (8).

With this observation in mind it is easy to establish the following result:

PROPOSITION. *Let $f \in C[-1, 1] \setminus \pi_{11}^R$ and let e_{10}^* be the best approximant to f from π_{10}^R . Then,*

$$\frac{E_{11}^C(f - e_{10}^*)}{E_{11}^R(f - e_{10}^*)} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Note that the function $f - e_{10}^*$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) above (cf. [3, p. 161]) with $M = \|f - e_{10}^*\|$. ■

If the polynomial e_{10}^* is constant, that is, if $E_{10}^R(f) = E_{00}^R(f)$ (this holds in particular for any even function), then $E_{11}(f - e_{10}^*) = E_{11}(f)$, and, again, we obtain (8). If we note that the function f of the Example above was even, we deduce:

THEOREM 2.

$$\inf \{ E_{11}^C(f) / E_{11}^R(f) : f \in C[-1, 1] \setminus \pi_{11}^R \text{ s.t. } E_{10}^R(f) = E_{00}^R(f) \} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Although it is not clear whether $\gamma_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$ or not, one thing can be asserted.

THEOREM 3. $\gamma_{11} > 0$.

Proof. Trefethen and Gutknecht [4] proved that $\gamma_{01} > 0$. As it stands, Theorem 3 follows from their result. Indeed, assume that $\gamma_{11} = 0$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find $f \in C[-1, 1]$ and $c \in \pi_{11}^C$ such that

$$\|f - c\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad E_{11}^R(f) = 1 \tag{9}$$

From (9) follows that $\|\text{Im}c\| < \varepsilon$. Hence there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\text{Im}c(1 + \delta)| < \varepsilon$. We observe now that the transformation $\phi: t \rightarrow ((1 + \delta)t + 1)/(t + (1 + \delta))$ maps $[-1, 1]$ bijectively onto itself and that the change of the argument x by $\phi(t)$ preserves the classes $C[-1, 1]$, π_{11}^R and π_{11}^C and preserves norms. Hence, the functions $\tilde{f} = f \circ \phi$ and $\tilde{c} = c \circ \phi$ satisfy

$$\|\tilde{f} - \tilde{c}\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad E_{11}^R(\tilde{f}) = 1. \tag{9'}$$

In view of the choice of δ , we also obtain

$$|\text{Im} \tilde{c}(\infty)| = |\text{Im} c(\phi(\infty))| = |\text{Im} c(1 + \delta)| < \varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

Define now $g(t) = \tilde{f}(t) - \text{Re} \tilde{c}(\infty)$. Then

$$E_{01}^R(g) \geq E_{11}^R(g) = E_{11}^R(\tilde{f}) = 1 \tag{11}$$

by (9'), and

$$\|g - (\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}(\infty))\| = \|\tilde{f} - \tilde{c} + i \operatorname{Im} \tilde{c}(\infty)\| < 2\varepsilon \quad (12)$$

by (9'), (10).

Since $\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}(\infty) \in \pi_{01}^C$, we obtain from (12) that $E_{01}^C(g) < 2\varepsilon$, which together with (11) implies $\gamma_{01} < 2\varepsilon$. It follows that $\gamma_{01} = 0$, contradicting the above-mentioned result of Trefethen and Gutknecht. ■

REFERENCES

1. C. BENNETT, K. RUDNICK, AND J. VAALER, Best uniform approximation by linear fractional transformations, *J. Approx. Theory* **25** (1979), 204–224.
2. S. W. ELLACOTT, A note on a problem of Saff and Varga concerning the degree of complex rational approximation to real valued functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)* **6** (1982), 218–220.
3. G. MEINARDUS, "Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1967.
4. L. N. TREFETHEN AND M. H. GUTKNECHT, Real vs. complex rational Chebyshev approximation to real valued functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **280** (1983), 555–561.
5. R. S. VARGA, "Topics in Polynomial and Rational Interpolation and Approximation," Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, 1982.